SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., as Trustee,	;
Interpleader Plaintiff,	: Case No. 08 CV 1297 : (GEL) (HBP)
- against -	:
CALYON, MAGNETAR CONSTELLATION MASTER FUND, LTD., CEDE & CO., as Holder of certain Global Notes and Preference Shares and nominee name of the Depositary Trust Company, and DOES 1 through 100, owners of beneficial interests in the Global Notes and/or Preference Shares,	: : : :
Interpleader Defendants.	: : Y

STATEMENT OF CLAIM OF MAGNETAR CONSTELLATION MASTER FUND, LTD TO INTERPLEADER FUNDS

Interpleader Defendant MAGNETAR CONSTELLATION MASTER FUND, LTD, ("Magnetar"), through its undersigned attorneys, Otterbourg, Steindler, Houston & Rosen, P.C., in further support of its claim to the Interpleader Funds as set forth in the Answer of Magnetar Constellation Master Fund, Ltd to Interpleader Complaint and Affirmative Claim to Interpleader Funds, (DE# 15) dated April 14, 2008, which is incorporated by reference in its entirety herein, submits this Statement of Claim to Interpleader Funds (as hereinafter defined), and respectfully alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Upon information and belief, non-party Orion 2006-2 Ltd. (the "Issuer"), is an exempted company with limited liability that was incorporated in October 2006 under the laws of the Cayman Islands. At all times relevant, the Issuer owned all membership interests in non-party Orion 2006-2 LLC (the "Co-Issuer"), which is a limited liability company that was organized in October 2006 under the laws of the State of Delaware.

- 2. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. is a national banking association with its principal office in the State of South Dakota.
- The Issuer and the Co-Issuer (collectively, the "Co-Issuers") and Wells Fargo 3. Bank, N.A. are parties to an indenture dated December 7, 2006 (the "Indenture"). Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. is trustee (the "Trustee") under the Indenture.
- 4. Interpleader Defendant Magnetar is a corporation organized under the laws of the Cayman Islands, where it maintains its principal place of business. Magnetar's affairs and operations, including all investment decisions, are managed by Magnetar Financial LLC, an investment advisor registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Advisor Act of 1940. Magnetar owns Class C-1 Notes in the principal amount of \$5 million and 46,761 Preference Shares.
- Upon information and belief, Interpleader Defendant CALYON is a French 5. banking corporation with its principal place of business in Paris, France. CALYON is the Credit Default Swap Counterparty under the Indenture and the Holder of a Majority of Class A-1A Notes issued under the Indenture. Additionally, upon information and belief, CALYON Corporate and Investment Bank was the marketing name of CALYON at the time the Indenture was executed, and, together with CALYON Securities (USA), Inc., a New York corporation, which is an affiliate of CALYON, was the Initial Purchaser of the Securities (defined below) and, upon information and belief, assisted in the marketing of the Securities, including the preparation and dissemination of the Offering Memorandum dated December 6, 2006, through which various Notes issued under the Indenture were offered for sale.

Except as otherwise defined herein, all defined terms herein have the meanings set forth in the Indenture.

- 6. Upon information and belief, Interpleader Defendant Cede & Co. ("Cede") is the nominee name of The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), a limited purpose company organized under the New York banking laws, with its principal place of business in New York, New York. Cede is the registered Holder of record of the Securities on deposit with the DTC, representing 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes (defined below) and certain of the Preference Shares (defined below).
- Interpleader Defendants Does 1 through Does 100 are unknown Holders of 7. beneficial interests of Securities.

THE PRIORITY OF PAYMENTS

- On or about December 7, 2006, in accordance with the terms of the Indenture, the 8. Co-Issuers issued twelve (12) classes of promissory notes (the "Notes"), including without limitation, Class A-1A Senior Secured Floating Rate Variables Funding Notes due 2051 ("Class A-1A Notes") and Class C-1 Secured Deferrable Floating Rate Notes due 2051 ("Class C-1 Notes"), and in accordance with its Memorandum and Articles of Association, the Issuer issued 74,000 preference shares (the "Preference Shares", and with the Notes, collectively, the "Securities").
- Under the terms of the Indenture, the Issuer granted to the Trustee a security 9. interest in Collateral for the benefit of certain parties (the "Secured Parties"), including, without limitation, the holders of the Notes (the "Noteholders").
- The Collateral is intended to secure the payment of all sums payable under the 10. Indenture. All amounts to be paid under the Indenture on behalf of Preference Shares are to be released from the lien granted under the Indenture and paid to the Preference Share Paying Agent. All amounts to be paid under the Indenture on behalf of the Noteholders of a particular

Class are to be paid <u>pari passu</u> based upon the outstanding principal amount of Notes of such Class and on behalf of the Preference Shareholders <u>pari passu</u> based upon the number of Preference Shares outstanding.

- 11. Under the Indenture, interest and principal proceeds collected by the Trustee from the Collateral (the "Interest Proceeds" and "Principal Proceeds" and collectively, the "Proceeds") are maintained in separate accounts until a date each month (each, a "Distribution Date") when the Trustee, on behalf of the Issuer, and in accordance with the terms of the Indenture, pays such Interest Proceeds and Principal Proceeds, to the extent of available funds, to, among others, (i) certain counterparties whose interests are senior to those of the Noteholders (the "Senior Parties"); (ii) the Noteholders; and (iii) the Preference Share Paying Agent for distribution to holders of Preference Shares (the "Preference Shareholders").
- 12. Payment of Proceeds is made on each Distribution Date in the order, timing and amounts set forth in Sections 11.1(i) and (ii) of the Indenture (the "Priority of Payments") to the extent of available funds. The Priority of Payments contains a payment waterfall for distribution of Interest Proceeds in Section 11.1(i) (the "Interest Waterfall") and a payment waterfall for the distribution of Principal Proceeds in Section 11.1(ii) (the "Principal Waterfall"). The Interest Waterfall and the Principal Waterfall contain different payment priorities from one another. Interest Proceeds and Principal Proceeds are not to be commingled under the Priority of Payments.
- 13. The Priority of Payments are subject to Section 13.1 of the Indenture which reaffirms the priority scheme of Section 11.1. Each of the Subsections of Section 13.1 concerns the priority of the Senior Parties or of the Notes in relation to one another. Subsections 13.1(b) through (m) each concern a particular class of Note (the "Identified Class"), and each Subsection defines as "Subordinate Interests" those Notes that are junior in priority to the Identified Class.

Filed 07/07/2008

Each of Subsections 13.1(b) to (m) expressly provides that upon the occurrence and continuation of an Event of Default, and acceleration of the Notes pursuant to Article 5 of the Indenture, the Notes in the Identified Class are to be paid in full in accordance with the Priority of Payments.

THE CLASS A-1A NOTES

- 14. In accordance with the Indenture and the Class A-1A Note Purchase Agreement dated December 7, 2006 (the "A-1A Agreement"), pursuant to which CALYON purchased the Class A-1A Notes, the Class A-1A Notes were unfunded at the time of issuance, but are subject to borrowing by the Issuer up to the amount of \$900 million, for use by the Issuer in satisfying certain obligations due the Credit Default Swap Counterparty. Upon information and belief, as of the date hereof, there have been no borrowings under the Class A-1A Notes and the aggregate principal balance of the Class A-1A Notes remains at zero.
- 15. CALYON's obligation to fund any borrowing under the Class A-1A Notes is reduced by amounts on deposit in a segregated securities account established by the Trustee, and held in its name, for the benefit of the Secured Parties (the "Reserve Account").
- 16. Subject to the terms of the Indenture and the A-1A Agreement, CALYON is entitled to interest on any outstanding principal amount under the Class A-1A Notes and to a fee (the "Commitment Fee") on the undrawn amount of principal, which Commitment Fee is <u>pari</u> <u>passu</u> with the interest payable on the Class A-1A Notes.
- 17. So long as any of the Class A-1A Notes is outstanding, the Class A-1A Noteholder is the Controlling Class under the Indenture.
- Pursuant to Sections 5.5(a)(ii) and 5.13 of the Indenture, if an Event of Default occurs and is continuing, the Trustee must retain the Collateral intact unless the Holders of at least 66-2/3% of the Controlling Class (the "66-2/3 Holder") direct that the Collateral be sold.

Upon information and belief, CALYON is the 66-2/3 Holder and thus, has the power to direct the liquidation of the Collateral, but CALYON has not directed the Trustee to liquidate the Collateral as of the date hereof.

THE DISPUTE

- 19. By notice dated November 7, 2007 (the "November 7 Notice"), the Trustee notified the recipients that, among other things, an Event of Default had occurred under Section 5.1(h) of the Indenture on November 6, 2007.
- 20. CALYON, as Holder of a majority of the Class A-1A Notes, by notice to the Trustee and the Co-Issuers dated December 6, 2007 (the "December 6 Notice"), allegedly in accordance with Section 5.2(a)(ii)(A) of the Indenture, and on the basis that the Event of Default identified by the Trustee in the November 7 Notice was continuing, purported to:
 - (a) declare the principal of, and accrued and unpaid interest on,the Notes (including the Commitment Fee on the Class A-1A Notes), to be immediately due and payable;
 - (b) terminate the Reinvestment Period; and
 - (c) instruct the Trustee to remit all Proceeds in a manner contrary to the Priority of Payments and advantageous to CALYON, but to the prejudice of all other Noteholders and Preference Shareholders.
- 21. Specifically, in the December 6 Notice, citing to Section to 13.1(b) of the Indenture, CALYON purported to instruct that before distributing any Interest Proceeds or Principal Proceeds to any "Subordinate Interests," as that term is defined in Section 13.1(b) of the Indenture, the Trustee must apply all Interest Proceeds and Principal Proceeds to pay amounts described in Sections 11.1(i)(A) through the *first* sub-clause (F) of the Indenture, including the Commitment Fee and interest payable to CALYON, then to pay the principal of the

Class A-1A Notes until the funded aggregate outstanding amount of such Notes was paid in full, and thereafter, to deposit all Interest Proceeds and Principal Proceeds into the Reserve Account, until the Remaining Unfunded Class A-1A Commitment Amount had been reduced to zero.

- 22. The application of Proceeds demanded by CALYON is contrary to the Priority of Payments, and ignores the express requirement of Section 13.1(b), on which CALYON purportedly relied in its December 6 Notice, that all distributions thereunder are to be made in accordance with the Priority of Payments.
- 23. Upon information and belief, based upon values of the Collateral, if the Trustee complied with CALYON's demand to deposit the Interest Proceeds and Principal Proceeds into the Reserve Account, thereby reducing CALYON's obligations under the A-1A Agreement, all available Proceeds would be exhausted, and there would not be any Proceeds available at any time thereafter to make any distributions of either Interest Proceeds or Principal Proceeds to any of the Noteholders or Preference Shareholders in accordance with Sections 11.1(i) and (ii) of the Indenture.
- 24. Magnetar, along with, upon information and belief, one or more other Noteholders or Preference Shareholders, advised the Trustee that the November 7 Notice and/or the December 6 Notice (collectively, the "Notices") were inconsistent with the Indenture.
- 25. In view of the conflicting positions of CALYON, and one or more Noteholders or Preference Shareholders, including Magnetar, the Trustee commenced the instant action and has withheld the distribution of Proceeds on and after the December 10, 2007 Distribution Date (the "Interpleader Funds"), pending a final resolution of this action. The Trustee has represented to the Defendants that the Interpleader Funds as of June 11, 2008 included only Interest Proceeds and that it would, pending a final resolution of this action, continue to set aside additional

Interest Proceeds and any Principal Proceeds that are or may be distributable to one or more of the Defendants pursuant to the Indenture.

THE NOTICES ARE INCONSISTENT WITH THE INDENTURE

26. The positions taken in the Notices are inconsistent with the Indenture. Magnetar, as both a Class C-1 Noteholder and a Preference Shareholder, is entitled to payment of Interest Proceeds and Principal Payments in accordance with Sections 11.1(i) and 11.1(ii), respectively.

AN EVENT OF DEFAULT HAS NOT OCCURRED AND THE DECEMBER 6 NOTICE WAS A NULLITY

- 27. Pursuant to the November 7 Notice, the Trustee purported to provide notice that an Event of Default under Section 5.1(h) of the Indenture had occurred because the ratio described in that Section (the "5.1(h) Ratio"), allegedly was less than 100%. Upon information and belief, such Event of Default was deemed to have occurred only because the 5.1(h) Ratio was miscalculated, by applying the so-called "Haircut Amount".
 - 28. In fact, the Haircut Amount is not applicable to the calculation of the 5.1(h) Ratio.
- 29. Section 5.1(h) of the Indenture states that the Haircut Amount is only to be used in calculating the 5.1(h) Ratio "if applicable". However, the definition of Haircut Amount in Section 1.1(a) of the Indenture expressly provides that the Haircut Amount is solely "applicable" in determining the "Net Outstanding Portfolio Collateral Balance", in connection with computation of a distinct ratio, the "AAA/Aaa Coverage Ratio". Accordingly, reliance on the Haircut Amount in determining the 5.1(h) Ratio was in error.
- 30. Upon information and belief, had the Haircut Amount not been utilized in calculating the 5.1(h) Ratio with respect to the November 7 Notice, the 5.1(h) Ratio would have been in excess of 100%.

- Under the Indenture, promptly after the occurrence of a Default known to the 31. Trustee, the Trustee is required to mail notice thereof to various entities, including all Noteholders and the Preference Share Paying Agent.
- Other than the purported Event of Default described in the November 7 Notice, 32. the Trustee has not given notice of any Event of Default under the Indenture.
- Except as set forth in the December 6 Notice, with respect to the alleged Event of 33. Default described in the November 7 Notice, CALYON, as the Majority of the Controlling Class, has not purported to accelerate the Notes or to terminate the Reinvestment Period.
- By reason of the foregoing, no Event of Default occurred under Section 5.1(h) as 34. of the date of the November 7 Notice, and CALYON's December 6 Notice, purporting to accelerate the Notes and to terminate the Reinvestment Period on the basis of the Event of Default described in the November 7 Notice was a nullity. Distribution of the Interpleader Funds and all additional Proceeds to be distributed on future Distribution Dates should proceed forthwith in accordance with the Priority of Payments.

SECTION 13.1 DOES NOT ALTER THE PRIORITY OF PAYMENTS

- Even if an Event of Default had occurred and was existing as of the November 7 35. Notice, and the Notes had been properly accelerated in accordance with the December 6 Notice, such that Section 13.1 would be applicable, under that Section, each Class of Notes is still to be paid in accordance with the Priority of Payments. As such, under Section 13.1, distribution to any Class of Notes is senior to distribution to be made to its "Subordinate Interests" only to the extent that such distribution is senior in priority pursuant to Sections 11.1(i) and (ii).
- Moreover, Section 13.1 does not provide, require or permit the commingling of 36. Interest Proceeds and Principal Proceeds. Rather, under the Indenture, subject to the priorities

set forth thereunder and the availability of funds, each Noteholder is entitled, absolutely and unconditionally, to the payment of Principal Proceeds and Interest Proceeds as the same become due.

- 37. Further, Subsections 13.1(b) through (m) only concern payments due under the Notes in issue in each Subsection thereof, and not of other amounts.
- 38. Section 13.1(b) concerns the Class A-1A Notes. Under the Class A-1A Notes, the Co-Issuers promised to pay to CALYON the principal amount outstanding under the Class A-1A Notes, up to the maximum amount of \$900 million (to the extent drawn), and interest or the Commitment Fee thereupon.
- 39. In the December 6 Notice, CALYON demanded that before distributing any Proceeds to Subordinate Interests within the meaning of Section 13.1(b), the Trustee deposit in the Reserve Account amounts sufficient to reduce the Remaining Unfunded Class A-1A Commitment Amount to zero. Deposits into the Reserve Account are not amounts that are due and payable under the Class A-1A Notes, and payments on account of the Class A-1A Notes do not include deposits of all Proceeds into the Reserve Account, as CALYON demanded in the December 6 Notice.

AS AND FOR MAGNETAR'S FIRST CLAIM TO THE INTERPLEADER FUNDS

- 40. Magnetar repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 39 of the Statement as if the same was repeated at length.
- 41. Section 13.1 is inapplicable in that, the 5.1(h) Ratio, as properly calculated, <u>i.e.</u>, without application of the Haircut Amount, was greater than 100% as of the November 7 Notice, and as such, no Event of Default existed as of that date. Accordingly, CALYON's December 6

Notice, purporting on the basis of the November 7 Notice to accelerate the Notes and terminate the Reinvestment Period, was a nullity.

- Section 13.1 reiterates, but does not modify, the Priority of Payments, and all 42. payments to be made under Section 13.1 are to be made in compliance with Sections 11.1(i) and (ii).
- By reason of the foregoing, Magnetar is entitled to payment of its pari passu share 43. of the Interpleader Funds and all other Proceeds to be distributed hereafter, in accordance with the Priority of Payments as a C-1 Noteholder and Preference Shareholder, to the extent of its holdings.

AS AND FOR MAGNETAR'S SECOND CLAIM TO THE INTERPLEADER FUNDS (IN THE ALTERNATIVE)

- Magnetar repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 44. through 43 of the Statement as if the same was repeated at length.
- Pursuant to the Indenture, as the 66-2/3 Holder, CALYON has the right to direct 45. that the Collateral be sold, but it has not done so.
- In view of its failure to direct the Sale of the Collateral, CALYON is estopped 46. from asserting that the Trustee should distribute Proceeds in accordance with the December 6 Notice.

WHEREFORE, Interpleader Defendant Magnetar demands judgment:

A. In its favor and against all adverse Interpleader Defendants and requiring the distribution of all Interpleader Funds and any other Proceeds to be distributed hereafter to Magnetar to the extent of its holdings, in accordance with the Priority of Payments;

- B. Awarding to Magnetar its costs and attorneys' fees incurred in defending this action to the full extent allowed by law; and
- C. Awarding to Magnetar such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York July 7, 2008

OTTERBOURG, STEINDLER, HOUSTON

By: Crance

Peter Feldman (PF-3271)

230 Park Avenue New York, New York 10169 (212) 661-9100 Email: pfeldman@oshr.com

Attorneys for Interpleader Defendant Magnetar Constellation Master Fund, Ltd

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served via the Court's Electronic Filing System, as well as via U.S. Mail, on the parties on the service list below on this 7th day of July, 2008.

Peter Feldmar

SERVICE LIST

Michael Edward Johnson, Esq.	Scott E. Eckas, Esq.
Piret Loone, Esq.	Kevin J. Biron, Esq.
c/o Alston & Bird, LLP	c/o McKee Nelson LLP
90 Park Avenue	One Battery Park Plaza, 34 th Floor
New York, NY 10016	New York, NY 10004
Attorneys for Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank,	Attorneys for Defendant Calyon
N.A.	
Via ECF and U.S. Mail	Via ECF and U.S. Mail
Eric P Heichel	
Eiseman, Levine, Lehrhaupt & Kakoyiannis, P.C.	
805 Third Avenue, 10th Floor	
New York, NY 10022	
· ·	
Attorneys for Cede & Co.	
Via ECF and U.S. Mail	